543

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 91st MEETING OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs., 24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

Time & Date - 10.30 P.M on 29th August, 2013

Minutes of the 90th meeting which was circulated amongst Members were confirmed

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Review cases:

Case no. 1

(Manager, Nirmala Convent School, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the project was previously recommended with the penalty of Rs. 1 lakh. The applicant has requested for reduction in the penalty amount of Rs. 50,000 keeping in view the limited resources of the school and the fact that it is located in a small town. After consideration, it was decided to reduce the penalty amount to Rs. 50,000 and it was also decided that the school should be asked to set up a room as display centre on the monuments in the town and also organize an annual workshop on heritage awareness with students of the school.

Case no. 2

(Thiru A. C. Raju, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the project was previously recommended with the height of 16.26 m. Now, the applicant has asked for a review in height extension. After consideration, and keeping in view that the property is located along the highway (and consequently basement may be necessary for parking), it was decided to allow height of 19.74 m (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with one basement. As, it is a commercial project, the building should have a dedicated space/room (at least 30 sqm.) for an interpretation centre.

Pg. 1

Case no. 3

(Sh. Ramanbhai Gandabhai Panchal & Others, Gujarat)

On perusal of the application, it was noted that the project of residential building had been previously recommended for G+2 with total height of 11 m including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc. and to demolish extra two floors which were constructed without taking approval. Now, the applicant has requested to allow him to keep those extra constructed floors but keeping in mind the surrounding area of the proposed site and because it was a unauthorized construction, it was decided to retain the earlier decision.

Case no. 4

(Sh. K.K. Ibrahim Mohamadbhai, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the project was previously recommended with G+ 2 storeys. Now as the applicant has asked for a review in height extension. After consideration and in view of guidelines for Gujarat/Ahemdabad, it was decided to allow the height of 22.80 mtrs with height of the building 15 mtrs, height of hollow plinth should be 2.80 mtr and height of roof top level structures 5 mtrs.

Case no. 5

(M/s. Gawade Brothers, Promoters, Builders & Developers, Mumbai)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the project was previously recommended with the height of 15 mtrs. Now, the applicant has asked for a review in height extension, and after due consideration, it was decided to allow G+4 stories (building height not to exceed 15 mtrs) and 5 mtr for roof top structures, with total height of 20 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). The applicant should also make a basement, only for car parking

Case no. 6

(M/s. Adorn Realtors, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the project was previously recommended with the height of 15 mtrs. Now, the applicant has asked for a review in height extension, and after due consideration, it was decided to allow G+4 stories (building height not to exceed 15 mtrs) and 5 mtr for roof top structures, with total height of 20 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Also, only one basement is permitted.

Case no. 7

(Sh. Vilas D. Indapurkar & Others, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the project was previously recommended with the height of 15 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Now, the applicant has asked for a review in height extension. After consideration of the matter, it was decided to allow only an extra height of 5 mtrs for roof top structures. The total height of the building should not exceed 20 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 8

(Sh. Kamlesh S. Limbachiya, Director, M/s. Keshavi Developers Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra)

On perusal of the application, it was noted that in this case, the applicant had been recommend NOC for G+4 stories. The applicant has now requested for review of the decision and to allow total height 69.9 mtrs on the ground that the earlier height limit would make his project unviable and also as his property is located almost at the limit of the regulated area. After consideration of the matter and keeping in view current guidelines for Mumbai, the decision was be reviewed and it was decided to now **recommend** for total height of 69.9 mtrs

Fresh Cases

Case no. 1

(Sh. Gavin S. Dias, Old Goa, Goa)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+1 storey with total height of 9.72 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 2

(Smt. Jaibala Upadhayay w/o Sh. Mitesh Upadhyay, Arthuna, Banswara, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the case, it was noted that the application is having mismatch in the provided building plans & drawings. Also the building plans are not authenticated by the architect. CA has to clarify the above mentioned points to put up the matter further for consideration.

Case no. 3

(Sh. Samiran Mitra, Durgapur, Burdwan, West Bengal)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+1 storey with total height of 9.20 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 4

(Sishmahal Construction Pvt. Ltd., Kolkata, West Bengal)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the construction has already started without taking permission. The CA has to confirm whether any notice was issued by ASI to the applicant and also send a status report on the earlier existing building and details of the demolition. The CA should also inform whether the area falls under heritage zone and if so, whether there are any guidelines pertaining to the same.

Case no. 5

(Sh. Nityanand Timmanna Nayak, Kumta, Uttara Kannada, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+1 storey with total height of 6.29 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 6

(Chief Officer, Town Municipal Council, Kumta, Uttara Kannada, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with total height of 5.70 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 7

(Administrative Officer, Chandragutti, Shimoga, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that there were no detailed work plans and it was decided to ask the applicant to provide the same and put the matter again for consideration. Also, ASI can be requested to inspect the proposed site and provide a status note to this office.

Case no. 8

(Smt. Kottureshwaramma, Bellary, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground & first floor with total height of 7 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 9

(Smt. Geetha A. Shenoy, Karkala, Udupi, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+first floor with total height of 8.69 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 10

(Trustee of Imamshah Bava Roja Sansthan Trust, Sh. B.K. Patel and others)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with the total height of 22.80 mtr i.e. height of the building can be retained at 15 mtrs, height of hollow plinth should be 2.80 mtrs and for roof top level structures the height should be 5 mtrs.

Case no. 11

(Smt. Pushpaben Chhotalal Shah, Vadodara, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was observed that the applicant has already completed the construction work up to first floor. Hence, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for basement+GF+2 stories with the total height of 10.80 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Also a penalty of Rs. 25,000/- for undertaking construction without prior permission as recommended by CA, Gujarat should be imposed. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case no. 12

(Sh. Bhairavnath Multi-state Co.Op. Credit Society Ltd, Ch. Balasaheb Shrirang Kasar, Phaltan, Satara, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Basement+G+2 floors with total height of 15.87 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 13

(Sh. Suresh Madhukar Lolage, Panhala, Kolhapur, Mumbai)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with total height of 7 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 14

(Sh. Nitin Laxman Pandhare, Solapur, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the provided building plan does not match with the inspection report. Also, the report provided by CA Mumbai was incomplete. So, the CA may be requested to clarify the mismatch in proposed building plan and provide a detailed report on the construction work.

Case no. 15

(Manhar Resorts PVt. Ltd., Pune, Mumbai)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the applicant had already received NOC from ASI in the year 2009. It appears that the applicant was unable to begin the work and has therefore approached again for permission. It was also noted that part of the property falls in the prohibited area. After consideration of the case, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC up to the same level as granted by ASI earlier that is, height of 8.16 mtrs for the cottage [Cluster I] and 8.98 mtrs for the hotel building [Cluster II]. It must be also ensured that no construction takes place within the prohibited limit.

Case no. 16

(Sh. Sunil Mahadev Kadam, Panhala, Kolhapur, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with total height of 9 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 17

(Sh. Ravi Pinyamal Chandwani, Panhala, Kolhapur, Mumbai)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with total height of 10.02 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc. The applicant should ensure that no construction work spills over into prohibited limit.

Case no. 18

(Sh. Santosh Bhaguji Deshmukh, Maval, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with total height of 5 mtrs excluding mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc. However, keeping in view, the natural surroundings of the area and since this seems to be the first such construction, it would be appropriate if the design and material blend with the surroundings. Accordingly, the applicant should try to avoid use of tin and go in for alternate like prefab huts of the same specifications and also try to change the layout (with may be 3-4 huts in a group) if sufficient land is available with him.

Case no. 19

(Mr. Yashpal Singh Rathi S/o Sh. Paltoo Singh, Kashipur, U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for double stories building with total height of 9.4 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 20

(Dr. Sanjeev Vohra, Laxman Nagar, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for double stories building with total height of 20 feet from road level including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 21

(Sh. Dhan Kumar Jain Smt. Salini Jain, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for two floors with total height of 27 feet including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc with basement. The construction work should be done in conformity with the surrounding area.

Case no. 22

(Sh. Gaurav Budhraja and Smt. Mona Budhraja, South Delhi, Green Park, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+4 floors with total height limited to 18 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc. but construction of basement is not allowed.

Case no. 23

(Smt. Sushma Goel, Rana Pratap Bagh, North Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Case no. 24

(Smt. Sudha Jain, Rana Pratap Bagh, North Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc. but construction of basement is not allowed.

Case no. 25

(Sh. Mahavir Prasad Mittal, B-15, C.C. Colony, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+4 floors with total height limited to 18 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc. Basement is allowed, as the site is 254m. from the monument and hence falls in zone 2.

Case no. 26

(Sh. J.K. Goel Executive Engineer, PWD, CBM Division, Kidwai Nagar, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with total height of 27mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc. and two basements. It was noted by members that this case pertains to the Delhi High Court building for which Heritage Bye-laws have been approved allowing maximum height of 30 mtrs in respect of the sub-zone covering block 'C' of the High Court building.

As the site is 283 m from monument.

Case no. 27

(Sh. Lajpat Rai Kumar, Green Park, Main, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for basement+stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc. The construction is 207 m from the monument and hence in zone 2.

Case no. 28

(Sh. Sh. Jaswant Singh Marwah, Sh. Jagjit Singh Oberoi and Sh. Amarjeet Singh Marwah, Shop & Flat No. 2, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for four gound+3 stories with total height of 18 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water storage tank, etc.

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 91st MEETING (2nd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs., 24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 30th August, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

(Deferred Cases)

case no. 1

(Shri Ram Avtar Aggarwal, Lucknow)

It was informed that in this case the applicant had filed a case in the High Court in Lucknow and it would seem from a perusal of the papers that the matter was not properly represented before the Court in so far as the applicant was to have responded to the clearance of NMA and the matter was not pending in this office. However, in the last hearing in the Court it had been directed by the Court that the matter may be considered by NMA in a period of two weeks.

It was further informed that in respect to this office letter of 21.01.2013, the applicant has now given a response stating that it was not practicable for him to shift his construction to beyond the 300 mtr limit and had also requested that the matter may be decided on by NMA at the earliest. After careful consideration of all the above aspects, and noting that the applicant had started the construction in 2010 without obtaining permission, it was now decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case subject to the following conditions:

a) A penalty of Rs. 1 lakh may be imposed for unauthorized c and this amount is to be used for providing facilities/amen protected monument in consultation with ASI.

b) The applicant will set up an interpretation centre within hi construction to highlight the protected monuments an awareness of that area and also take up some me maintenance of the monument in consultation with ASI.

c) The height of the building (within regulated area) should be maximum 21 mtrs+additional 3 mtrs for construction o structures.

(Review Cases)

Case no. 1

(The Procurator, the Society of St. Joseph College, Trichy-2, Tamil

It was noted that in this case where NOC has been recommen provision for only 1 basement was approved. The applicant repeatedly requesting for review and allowing a 2nd basement a their original building plan. It has been stated by the applican present construction and proposed 2 basements are commercia the income from which would be used for development of t The matter was considered in detail by the Members but it was fe is an archaeologically rich area and the proposed construction is near the protected monument (only 115 mtrs) and allowin basement, which would require deep foundation digging wou appropriate in such an area and besides may also establish a pre view of this it was decided that no reconsideration of t recommendations with one basement only was possible.

a) A penalty of Rs. 1 lakh may be imposed for unauthorized construction and this amount is to be used for providing facilities/amenties at the protected monument in consultation with ASI.

b) The applicant will set up an interpretation centre within his proposed construction to highlight the protected monuments and heritage awareness of that area and also take up some measures for maintenance of the monument in consultation with ASI.

c) The height of the building (within regulated area) should be limited to maximum 21 mtrs+additional 3 mtrs for construction of roof top structures.

(Review Cases)

Case no. 1

(The Procurator, the Society of St. Joseph College, Trichy-2, Tamilnadu)

It was noted that in this case where NOC has been recommended earlier provision for only 1 basement was approved. The applicant has been repeatedly requesting for review and allowing a 2nd basement also as per their original building plan. It has been stated by the applicant that the present construction and proposed 2 basements are commercial buildings the income from which would be used for development of the school. The matter was considered in detail by the Members but it was felt that this is an archaeologically rich area and the proposed construction is also quite near the protected monument (only 115 mtrs) and allowing several basement, which would require deep foundation digging would not be appropriate in such an area and besides may also establish a precedent. In view of this it was decided that no reconsideration of the earlier recommendations with one basement only was possible.

554

(Fresh Cases)

Case no. 1

(M/s B&B Growing Promoters Pvt. Ltd, Haryana)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the basement was already constructed without prior permission so it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for basement+G+1+2+3 floors with the total height of 21 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and penalty of Rs. 50,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no. 2

(Smt. Sunita Arora W/o Sh. Deepak Kumar, Jalandhar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 38'3" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 3

(Sh. Balwant Singh S/o Sh. Pritam Singh, Jalandhar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the total height of 16'3" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 4

(Shri Raj Kumar, Sh. Anil Kumar S/o Sh. Murari Lal, Jalandhar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+2 floors with the total height of 38'3" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.).

Case no. 5

(Sh. Som Krishan, Sh. Suresh Kumar & others, Bathinda, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 26'6" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 6

(Sh. Ranjit Kumar S/o Sh. Chander Kishore Pandit, Bathinda, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the total height of 22 feet (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 7

(Sh. Kapil Kumar & Sh. Shyam Sunder, Bathinda, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+2 floors with the total height of 39'9" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 8

(Sh. Rajinder Kumar S/o Sh. Pawan Kumar, Bathinda, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+2 floors with the total height of 37'9" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 9

(Sh. Sanjeev Kumar & Sh. Rajiv Kumar S/o Sh. Sadhu Ram, # Part of 4990, Gali Middu Mal, Pandtan Wali, Bathinda, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+2 floors with the total height of 27'6" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). The applicant may be advised to try and maintain the old façade.

Case no. 10

(Sh. Sanjeev Kumar & Sh. Rajiv Kumar S/o Sh. Sadhu Ram, # Part of 4990, Bank Bazaar, Bathinda, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 with the total height of 27'6" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). The applicant may advised to try and maintain the old façade.

Case no. 11

(Sh. Pawan Kumar S/o Sh. Valayti Ram, Bathinda, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 26'6" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 12

(Sh. Anil Kumar S/o Sh. Hari Kishan, Jalandhar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 29'0" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc)

Case no. 13

(Sh. Jawaharlal S/o Sh. Subhash Marwaha, Amritsar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 31'6" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 14

(Sh. Om Prakash S/o Sh. Devi Chand, Ropar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 27' (including mumty, parpet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 15

(Smt. Sangita Tiwari, Khasra no. 38/12, 38/13, P.H. No. -17 at Village Rasuliya, Tehsil Hoshangabad, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for single storey. The maximum height should not exceed 10 mtrs (incl. mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) for any type of contruction, keeping in view the ambience of the surrounding vicinity and the protected site. The applicant should also set up an interpretation centre to highlight the monument/heritage of the area.

Case no. 16

(M/s Raj Realtors, Jogeshwari, Mumbai)

After consideration of the application, it was noted that part of property falls within prohibited and part in regulated area. after consideration, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Rehab buildings (total height 39.20 mts) and Sale buildings (total height 36.30 mtrs) (including mumty, parpet, water-tank etc) only in the regulated area. No construction is permissible in prohibited area and the applicant should also set up an interpretation centre to highlight the monument/heritage of the area i.e. Jogeshwari Caves.

Case no. 17

(M/s Koel Developers LLP,)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+20 storeys with the total height to be limited to 70 mtrs and additional 5 mtrs for roof top structures. The applicant should also set up an interpretation centre to highlight the monument/heritage of the area i.e. Jogeshwari Caves.

Case no. 18

(Shri V. Ganesh, Shri C.R. Govindarajan, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for stilt+ground+2 floors with the total height of 12.25m for Block 'A' and 12.25 mtrs for block 'B' (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.)

Case no. 19

(Shri. C. Jagadeesan, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 9.83 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.)

Case no. 20

(Shri D. Balaji, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for addition of first floor to the existing building with over all height of 9.80 mtrs (incl. mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.)

Case no. 21

(Shri M. Ravi, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the height of 5.86 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.)

Case no. 22

(Shri M. Natarajan, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 7.92 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.).

Case no. 23

(Shri M. Natarajan, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+2 floors with the total height of 9.32 mts (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.).

Case no. 24

(Shri M. Natarajan, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+2 floors with the total height of 9.32 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 25

(Shri M. Manoharan, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 9 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 26

(Shri V. Deepak Kumar and Shri. V. Sunil Kumar, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 7.61 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.).

Case no. 27

(Tmt. Manju Kumari and Shri V. Sandeep Kumar, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 7.61 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 28

(Tmt. J. Ida Mabel, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the total height of 4.50 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.)

Case no. 29

(Shri S. Selvi, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 7.85 mtr (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 30

(Shri V. Kumaravel, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 7.60 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 31

(Shri T.C. Balakrishnan, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the total height of 4.20 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 32

(Tmt. S. Jeya Kuruthu, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 7.54 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 33

(Shri A. Gnanasekaran, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+2 floor with the total height of 10.94 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 34

(Shri G. Selvam, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 7.46 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). The applicant may advised to follow Kanchipuram design guildelines as circulated by NMA.

Case no. 35

(Shri P. Hariprasad, Sh. V. Durairaj & Sh. V. Chandran, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 7.70 mtrs (incl. mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). The applicant may advised to follow Kanchipuram design guildelines as circulated by NMA.

Case no. 36

(Shri Bharathan Narasimhan & Sridevi Veera Ragavan, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 10.5 mtrs (incl. mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) as recommended in previous cases.

Case no. 37

(Smt. C. Saraswati, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for G+1 floor with the total height of 7.83 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). The applicant may advised to follow Kanchipuram design guildelines as circulated by NMA.

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 92nd MEETING(Ist Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs,24,Tilak Marg, New delhi 110001
Time & Date - 12 A.M on 16th, September, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Review Case

Case no.1

(St. Joseph College, Trichy, Chennai)

The case relates to proposed construction by the applicant located in Trichy for which NOC had been recommended earlier. The applicant, being an educational institute, has been requesting for reconsideration of allowing the basement for the proposed commercial construction, for which only one basement has been recommended. The applicant has stated that they are a minority educational institution providing education and charitable facilities to children of weaker sections. As their resources are limited, they had proposed to use part of their property for commercial building from which income their resources would be augmented. If only one basement is allowed, the size of the project of would get reduced and affect the viability. They have also under taken to get the basement work done under full supervision of ASI and also to suspect the construction activities in case of any major archaeological remains being found. After consideration of the matter and taking into account the circumstances has mentioned above as well as the undertaking by the applicant, it was decided to review the matter and allow the second basement also.

suspend

Fresh Cases

Case no.1

(Sh. Anil Kumar Sehgal, Sh. Rajan Sehgal and Sh. Rajeev Sehgal, B-3/24, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi-110029)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as the property is at 266 mtrs from the monument.

Case no.2

(Smt. Kalpana Budhiraja,B-3/25,Safdarjung Enclave,New Delhi-110029)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as the property is 268 m from the monument.

Case no.3

(Smt. Maya Jain, Sh. Rajesh Jain and Sh. Sunil Jain through his GPA Sh. Rajesh Jain, A-2/142, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi-110029)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with total height not to exceed 18 mtrs. However, basement is not permitted, as the property is 158 m from the monument.

Case no.4

(Brig. Ranbir Sethi (Retd.), Sh. Vinod Sethi and Sh. Arun Sethi through his Attorney "Brig. Ranbir Sethi (Retd.), B-1/19,Safrdarjung Enclave,New Delhi-110029)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.5

(Sh. Vijay Chawla and Sh. Anil Dev Chawla, 44, Block-172,Jorbagh, New Delhi-110003)

After perusal of the application it was decided that the **CA, Delhi,** be requested **to confirmed the distance** from the Monument to the site of construction **as per the DSSDI survey** and not on the basis of Google Map.

Case no.6

(Sh. Gurcharan Singh,1225A, Mahal Sarai,Chhota Bazar,Kashmere Gate,Delhi-110006)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height to not exceed 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as the property is 278 m from the monument.

Case no.7

(Sh. Vijay Batra, S-133,Panchsheel Park,New Delhi-110017)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC to do the addition of Second floor & alteration to the Ground and First Floor to his existing building with the total height of 9.90 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.8

(Sh. Deepak Kumar Dua, C-26,Geetanjali Enclave,New Delhi-110017)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.9

(Sh. Rakesh Batra, Sh. Manan MAlik, Sh.Raj Kumar Batra and Smt. Ranjana Malik, B-3, NDSE-I,New Delhi-110049)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the property is 162m from the monument.

Case no.10

(Sh. Vivek Sethi, Sh. Deepak Tandon, Dr. Rajesh Gupta and Smt. Modita Gupta, V-15, Green Park Main, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as the site is 220m from the monument.

Case no. 11

(Smt. P.K. Jain and Smt. Bina Jain, F-2, Green Park Main, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height to not exceed 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as the property is 290m. from the monument

Case no. 12

(Smt. Saroj Singla, Sh. Abhinav Singla, Sh. Amit Singla, Sh. Yogesh Singla and Sh. Rajesh Singla, V-14, Green Park Main, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc), as the site is 226m. from the monument.

Case no. 13

(Smt. Bhagwanti Israni, Sh. Vijay Israni and Smt. Manisha ISrani, S-12, Green Park Main, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+GF+3 with the total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc) and one basement as the site is 220 mtrs. from monument.

Case no. 14

(Sh. Yogesh Batra, C-41,Hauz Khas, New Delhi – 110016)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for the proposed additional construction at ground floor and first floor and for new construction of second and third floor, the total height of the building would be 17.45 mtrs inclusive of mumty, parapet, water-storage tank, etc. as per building plan.

Case no. 15

(Sh. Ghulam Qadit Bhat, Sh. Mohmad Ashraf Bhat, Sh. Mohd. Syed Bhat and Sh. Shabir Ahmed Bhat, D-8, Nizamuddin West, New Delhi-110013)

After perusal of the application it appears that there is another protected monument in the vicinity. CA, Delhi, is requested to confirm the same and find out the distance of the proposed site from the other protected monument as well.

Case no. 16

(Sh. C. Balasubramaniam and Sh. C. Shankaram, H-39,NDSE-I,New Delhi-110049)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the property is 140m from the monument.

Case no. 17

(Sh. C.Subramaniam and Sh. C. Shankaran, H-40, NDSE-I,New Delhi-110049)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the property is 138m from the monument.

Case no. 18

(Smt. Razia Begum, Sh. Abdul Aleem and Mohd. Alam, B-3, Nizamuddin West, New Delhi- 110013)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the property is 118 m. from the monument.

Case no. 19

(Sh. D.K Jain, D-19, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi-110013)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the renovation construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground + 2 Floor with total max height of 17 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 20,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.20

(Sh. Rajendra Kumar Rathore and Sh. Ram Murti, 7810,Ram Gali,Roshanara Bagh Road, Delhi-110007)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Stilt+Ground + 3 Floor with total max height of 18 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 50,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI

Case no.21

(Kalanidhi International Pvt. Ltd, 230, Block-172, Jorbagh, New Delhi-110003)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). **However, no basement is permitted,** as the distance is 190m from the monument.

Case no. 22

(Sh. Sudhir Vig, 70,Hanuman Road, Angoori Bagh,Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for the regularization of the repair/renovation of ground floor and first floor which the applicant has already carried out.

Case no. 23

(Sh. Shadi Lal Malhotra and Smt. Sunita Malhotra, 2/16,Sarvapriya Vihar,New Delhi 110016)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+3 floors with the total height of 15.01 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). **However, no basement is permitted,** as the property is 136 m from the monument.

Case no. 24

(Smt. Anjali Jain, D-19, Hauz Khas)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with the height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). and one basement as the site is 276 mtrs. from monument

Case no. 25

(Smt. Sarita Singh, W/o Sh. Om Prakash Singh, D-576,Kamla Nagar, Agra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor and First floor with the total height of 8.88 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 26

(Sh. Deepak Manchanda, 13/112, Charbagh,Shahganj,Agra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+GF+2 with the total height of 15 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 27

(Sh. Pritam Singh,Hadvast no. 154, Khevat no. 256, Khatoni no. 326,Khasra no. 43)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for addition of first floor to the existing building with the total height of 11.24 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 28

(Sh. Balwinder Singh, S/o Sh. Makkhan Singh, Village Jahangir, Tehsil Nakodar, Distt Jalandhar)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor and First floor with the total height of 11.24 mtrs(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 29

(Sh. Bakshish Singh, S/o Sh. Fakiria, Village Jahangir, Tehsil Nakodar, Distt. Jalandhar)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with the total height of 4.95 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 30

(Sh. Hardev Singh, S/o Sh. Mohan Singh,Village Jahangir)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor and First floor with the total height of 11.20 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 31

(Sh. Ranjit Kumar, S/o Sh. Chander Kishore Pandit, 6484,Purana Thana Road, Mohalla Bhaika, Bathinda)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with the total height of 6.70 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 32

(Smt. Sudesh Kumari W/o Sh. Roshan Lal, 372/431,Khasra no. 287/2,288/0-3,289/0-10)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor and addition of first floor with the total height of 8.22 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 33

(Managing Director, Punjab Health Systems Corporation, PHSC Head Office, Health and Family Welfare Complex, Phase-6, Ajitgarh,Mohali)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for the construction of 2 blocks, each having GF+3 with the total height of 19.25 mtrs each (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) in the hospital vicinity.

Case no. 34

(M/s. Color Home Developers (P) Ltd. / Mr. D. Ramesh Managing Director, Perumbakkam, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that although, it is a single project, the proposal involves constructing 28 individual units. Details of site plan and total size of plot etc were not available. A Survey of the area between the protected site and the lake to the north should also be provided for a better understanding to the project. The above details may be provided for reconsideration of the matter.

Case no. 35

(Mr. S. Krishnamoorthy, Sembakkam, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu).

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Stilt+2 floor with the total height of 11.54 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 36

(Thiru J. Srinivasan, Thirupporur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu).

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground floor with the total height of 4.50 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 37

(Mr. T. Panneerselvam, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place without taking prior approval. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case subject to the following conditions:

1. The height to be restricted to Ground + 2 Floors with max. height of 9.38 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.)
2. The façade color to be changed to beige/white.
3. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 20,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 38

(Mrs. S. Kalavathi, Sembakkam, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu.)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+2 floors with the total height of 11.89 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 39

(Mrs. S. Sailaja, Sembakkam, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+2 floors with the total height of 12.15 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 40

(Tmt. P. Saraswathy, Thirupporur, kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the total height of 4.50 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 41

(Tmt. G. Vasantha, Thirupporur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground + 1 Floor with total max height of 7.61 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 30,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 42

(Mrs. S. Pushpa, Sembakkam, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+2 floors with the total height of 12.15 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 43

(Mrs. S. Jagadavalli, Thirupporur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground Floor with total max height of 4.57 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 10,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 44

(Mrs. S. Sangeetha, Sembakkam, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+2 floors with the total height of 11.43 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 45

(Mr. C. Ilayaraja & C. Bakkiyaraja, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu.)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+1 Floor with total max height of 7.23 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 30,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 46

(S. Sengazhani, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu.)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground Floor with total max height of 4.30 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 10,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 47

(Mr. K. Dhayalan, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu.)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+1 Floor with total max height of 7.24 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 15,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 48

(Mr. P. Anand, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+1 floor with the total height of 8.22 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 49

(Mr. K. Gnanavel, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu.)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+1 Floor with total max height of 9.66 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 10,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 50

(Mrs. V. Bensudha, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu.)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+2 Floors with total max height of 10.59 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 25,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 51

(Mr. M. Mohammed Harif, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu.)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+2 Floors with total max height of 13.10 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 30,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 52

(Mr. D. Parsanraj, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu.)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+1 Floor with total max height of 10.67 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 35,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

573

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 92nd MEETING (2nd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs., 24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 17th September, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

(Review Cases)

Case no. 1

(The Secretary, S.I.V.E.T College, Tamil Nadu)

This case was previously recommended with the height of 9 mts. The applicant has now requested for extension of height up to 15.60 m. It was noted that the applicant (an educational institution) has justified the request on the grounds that the old building had become unsafe and it was proposed to shift those classes into the new building, but this would not be possible with only one floor. After careful consideration of all the relevant facts, it was decided to recommend this case with height of 15.60 mtrs, however, the applicant should introduce a new course on archaeology/heritage/history in it curriculum as a modular course. (for this purpose they could contact Ms. Shanti Pappu of Chennai, for course design etc.)

Case no. 2

(Sh. Phiroz S. Patel, Vibhag_B, Vadodara, Gujarat)

After careful consideration of the application it was observed that the case was previously recommended with the height of 12.50 mts. But now the applicant is asking for extension of height up to 19.50 m. After due consideration on review, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with 16.60 m i.e height of GF (parking) should be 3.10 m, total height for three floors to be restricted at 8.70 m and 4.80 m for roof top level structures. This is as per building plan of the applicant.

Case no. 3

(M/s. Raj Realtors, Builders and Developers, Jogeshwari, Mumbai)

After perusal of the application and keeping in view the present interim guidelines for Mumbai city, it was decided on review, to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for 65 m i.e. height of the building to be 60 m and 5 m for roof top structures. All other conditions stipulated in the earlier decision, including no construction in prohibited area, would stand.

Case no. 4

(Smt. Asha R. Gupta, Jogeshwari, Mumbai)

After perusal of the application it was seen that in this case, height of 15 mtrs had been granted and the applicant has requested for review of the same. After due consideration and keeping in view the present interim guidelines for Mumbai city, it was decided on review to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for 65 m i.e. height of the building to be 60 m and 5 m for roof top structures. All other conditions stipulated earlier, including no construction in prohibited area, would continue.

Fresh Cases

Case no. 1

(Sh. Sanjay Munshi, Director Mapletree Property Pvt. Ltd, Parel, Mumbai, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+7 floors with the total height of 31 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 2

(Sarpanch, Grampanchayat Pachad, Raigad, Mumbai, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+1 floor with the total maximum height of 7.7 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 3

(Mr. C. Selvaraj, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+1 Floor with total max height of 7.40 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 20,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 4

(Mrs. G. Thavamaniammal, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground Floor with total max height of 4.30 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 10,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 5

(Mrs. R. Seetha, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+1 floors with the total maximum height of 11 feet (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc), as this has already been constructed upto first floor.

Case no. 6

(Mrs. M. Santhi, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place without taking prior approval. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case, it would be subject to the following conditions:

1. The height to be restricted to Ground + 1 Floors with max. height of 8.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.)
2. The façade color to be changed to beige/white.
3. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 50,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI

Case no. 7

(Mr. S. Perumal, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground Floor with total max height of 4.40 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 15,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 8

(Mr. K. Pachaiyappan, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground Floor with total max height of 4.35 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 10,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 9

(Mr. S. Jesuraj & Joseph Xavier, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+2 floors with the total maximum height of 11 feet (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). The applicant may be advised to follow Interim guideline of NMA for Kanchipuram.

Case no. 10

Mrs S. Thangamma

(~~Mr. K. Pachaiyappan~~, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground Floor+1 with total max height of 7.46 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 10,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

5 7 7

Case no. 11

(Mrs. K. Radha, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground Floor with total max height of 4.68 mtrs for mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 20,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no. 12

(Mrs. S. Lakshmi Mr. M. Manikandan, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground floor with the total maximum height of 4.30 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 13

(Mr. G. Pannerselvam, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the construction has already completed with G+2 (from provided photograph) whereas the proposal and authorized building plan have been submitted for G+1. CA should clarify the point regarding approved the building height which the applicant constructed his building.

Case no. 14

Thiru K. Mani

(Mrs. S. Lakshmi Mr. M. Manikandan, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the construction has already completed with ground floor (from provided photograph) whereas the proposal and authorized building plan have been submitted for G+1. CA should clarify the point regarding approved the building height which the applicant constructed his building.

Case no. 15

(Mr. S. K. Manikandan, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that, it seems from the photograph provided by the applicant that the construction work in ground floor had already been taken place. Hence, CA should clarify and submit a report to this office on the present status and proposed site.

578

Case no. 16

(Mr. M. Ramesh, M Sanjai and M. Sunilkumar, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that, it seems from the photograph provided by the applicant that the constructions work in ground floor had already been taken place. Hence, CA should clarify and submit a report to this office on the present status and proposed site

Case no. 17

(Mr. R. Ponnusamy, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the construction has already completed with G+1 (from provided photograph) whereas the proposal and authorized building plan have been submitted for G+1. CA should clarify the point regarding approved the building height which the applicant constructed his building.

579

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 92nd MEETING (3rd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs., 24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 18th September, 2013

The presentation was made by the applicant in the following cases and thereafter the following decisions were taken:

Case no. 1

(Sh. Ashish Bansal, DMRC, Tuglak Cresent Park, Tuglak Road, near Race Course Metro Station, New Delhi)

This proposal relates to construction of Metro Rail near South Extension, New Delhi, involving construction of tunnel and station building which is under ground and station entry which would be above ground level. After detailed presentation, it was observed that the nearest boundary of the station entry is at 115 mtrs. from the protected monument. DMRC authority has conducted impact assessment studies for vibration analysis and other factors for the pre and post construction phases. These indicate that the protected monument is well within acceptable limits for these parameters. After taking into account all these aspects, it was decided that NOC may be recommended in this case with the following stipulation:

a) DMRC authority may provide appropriate signages at the station entry and also mark out the roads leading to the protected monument.

b) Display panels may also be provided inside the station relating to the monument and also about heritage of Delhi in general.

c) All other conditions as mentioned by CA Delhi, may also be adhered to.

whole
~~Full~~-time member had stated at the meeting that she would submit her comments in writing in a day or two, but to date no note has been received from her.

Case no. 2

(Sh. A.K. Gupta, Metro Bhawan, Fire Brigade Lane, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi)

This proposal relates to the construction of office building by DMRC near Jantar Mantar protected monument. Detailed presentation was made by the DMRC authorities. On perusal of the report of CA Delhi, it was observed that the CA had made several comments including advice on complete re-design of the proposed structure so as to blend it with the character of Jantar Mantar and Connaught Place. The observations of CA Delhi may be conveyed to DMRC so that they may examine the same and carryout the necessary changes particularly relating to re-designing. It was also mentioned that DMRC had obtained a report on functionality on this building at Jantar Mantar and a copy of the same may be sent to NMA. The matter would be considered again after the above action is compiled with.

Case no. 3

(Sh. S. Jethwani, Metro Bhawan, Fire Brigade Lane, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi)

This case also pertains to construction of office building for DMRC near Siri Fort Wall protected monument. After the detailed presentation and going through the report of CA Delhi, it was suggested to the applicant to carry out the following:

a) The proposed building may be re-designed taking into consideration the local architecture and character of the area.

b) As basement may not be permissible, there is need to explore alternate provision for surface parking.

c) There is a sudden increase in the height of proposed building beyond the 200 mtrs. limit which looks incongruous. This may be addressed.

On receipt of above clarification the matter may be considered again.

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 93rd MEETING (Ist Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs,24,Tilak Marg, New delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 21st October, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Leftover cases of 92nd meeting

Case no.18

(Mr. V. Dhakshinamoorthy, Uthiramerur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the construction was already 95% constructed without prior permission. It was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the total height of 4.57 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and penalty of Rs. 15,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no.19

(Mr. K. Sridharan, Sembakkam, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+1 floor with the total height of 11.31 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no. 20

(Thiru G. Mohan, Thirupporur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 4.57 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.21

(Thiru S. Narayanan, Srivilliputhur, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+1 floor with total height of 7.60 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, applicant may incorporate sloping roof on Ist floor in the proposed design for construction.

Case no.22

(Tmt. G. Tamilselvi, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the construction was already constructed without prior permission. While, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+1 floor with the total height of 7.36 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and penalty of Rs. 15,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument.

Case no. 23

(Mr. P. Arunagiri & S. Abiramasundari, Thiruchirapalli, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground + 1 floor with total height of 6.85 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.24

(Tmt. R. Vanithamani, Rajagopal Canon, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+1 floor with total height of 6.70 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, applicant should try to have tiled chajjas wherever feasible.

Case no.25

(Devender Kumar & Umesh Agarwal, Charminar Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+2 floor with total height of 10 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.26

(Smt. Suma Devi P. Kadavallur, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for two storey's with total height of 7.45 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc) plus basement.

Case no.27

(Mr. Umesh K. Ariyanoor, Kandanassery, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for two storey's with total height of 7.17 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Review case for 93rd meeting

Case no. 1

(Sh. Sathiandran A.N., Kerala)

After perusal of the applicant's request to allow height increase upto 7 mtrs (instead of 5.70 mtrs as provided earlier). On review, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC for two stories with total height of 7 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Deferred cases for 93rd meeting

Case no.1

(M/s Color Home Developers Pvt. Ltd., Chennai)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+1 floor with total height of 7.60 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, applicant should follow recommendations of Impact Assessment report.

Case no.2

(Shikshanmaharshi Bapuji Salunkhe Mahavidyalaya, Karad, Sh. Swami Vivekanand Shikshan Sanstha's Kollapur, Mumbai)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+2 floor with total height of 14.33 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Observation provided by Whole Time Member: Visual Impact will be high at 106 mtrs, it will be on the face.

Case no. 3

(Nitin Laxman Pandhare, Mumbai)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+1 floor with total height of 9.44 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, applicant may put sloping roof on existing pattern in new building, especially, balconies overlooking the road.

Case no.4

(The Bharucha & Motiwala (Poona) Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for parking+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). But, CA should confirm that there was no earlier reconstruction before conveying permission. Also, no construction in prohibited limit.

Case no.05

(Bapatla Seetha Ramanjaneyulu, Andhra Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+2 floor with total height of 9.11 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc) plus 2.75 mtr for stilt floor.

Case no.06

(Shri Ghulam Qadir Bhat, Shri Mohmad Ashraf Bhat, Shri Mohd. Syed Bhat and Sh. Shabir Ahmed Bhat, D-8, Nizamuddin, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.07

(Shri Vijay Chawla and Shri Anil Dev Chawla, 44, Block-172, Jorbagh, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Fresh cases for 93rd meeting

Case no.01

(Sri Karunakara, Moodbidri, Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the construction was already constructed without prior permission so it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for single storey with the total height of 4.02 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and penalty of Rs. 15,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument..

Case no.02

(Shri Divakara Hegde, Karkala, Udupi, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+first floor with total height of 29 feet (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.03

(Sh. N.N. Devaraja, Nuggehalli, Hassan, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 3.75 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, applicant may incorporate sloping roof in the proposed design for construction.

Case no.04

(Sri N. Krishna Murthy, Nanjangud, Mysore, Karnataka)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the construction was already constructed without prior permission. While, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for GF with the total height of 11'+6' mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and penalty of Rs. 5,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument..

Case no.05

(Smt. Savithramma, Nanjangud, Mysore, Karnataka)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the construction was already constructed without prior permission so it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the total height of 5.5 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and penalty of Rs. 10,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument..

Case no.06

(Sh. B.S. Subramanya and Shri B.S. Srikantakumar, Nanjangud, Mysore, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+first floor with total height of 7.50 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.07

(Sri R. Subramanya Dexith, Nanjangud, Mysore, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for first floor over existing ground floor with total height of 6.10 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.08

(Sri Madivala Kannappa, Keladi, Shimga, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 10 feet (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.09

(Thiru R. Gunasekaran, Thirupporur, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the construction was already constructed without prior permission. While, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+first floor with the total height of 7.62 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and penalty of Rs. 15,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument..

Case no.10

(Sri Ganeswar Dash, Bhubaneswar, Khurda, Odisha)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 30 feet (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). But no basement is allowed, as very close to monument. Parking may be stilt parking.

Case no.11

(Sh. Ramakrishna Bhakta Samajam, Bapatla, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the construction was already constructed without prior permission. While, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for RCC Verandah a penalty of Rs. 25,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument..

Case no.12

(Adv. T.P.M. Ibrahim Khan, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground +first floor with total height of ? (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, applicant may incorporate sloping roof in the proposed design for construction.

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 93rd MEETING (2nd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs,24,Tilak Marg, New delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 22nd October, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Sh. Satish Kumar S/o Sh. Sham Lal, Angrej Kumar S/o Sh. Jagan Nath, Sh. Pankaj Kumar S/o Sh. Deputy Ram, Bathinda, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction work has already taken place. CA should clarify the points regarding the height of the building and the no. of storey's up till which the applicant has constructed his building. The case will be taken up after receipt of the clarifications.

Case no.02

(Sh. Tika Ram Sharma, Chairman, World Sankirtan Tour, Bhulwana, Hodal, Palwal, Haryana)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC only for one floor with the total height of 20 feets or 6.09 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as per plan submitted by applicant.

Case no.03

(Smt. Parul w/o Sh. Satyendra Kumar, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement and G+2 floors with total height of 13.64 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as per plan submitted by applicant.

Case no.04

(Sh. Ram Pratap Singh, Sikandra, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+1 floors with total height of 8.88 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as per plan submitted by applicant.

Case no.05

(Sh. Inder Chand Jain, Kishan Chand Jain, Rajendra Chand Jain and Rakesh Kumar Jain, Mustquil, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided that the applicant should get the archaeological impact assessment including environment impact assessment on ground water level to consider the aspect of possibility of the revival of the water body as well as around the proposed site of construction.

Case no.06

(Superintending Engineer, Electricity Civil Circle (Distribution), Gailana Road, Agra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC only for Ground floor with total height of 7.5 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc) for construction of Department Equipment Test Lab.

Case no.07

(DMRC through its CPM-6, Sh. Daljeet Singh, DDA Park, Sarvapriya Vihar, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that, it is a fairly large project of construction for DMRC and hence, it was decided to ask the applicant to go for an Archaeological Impact Assessment report of the proposed project. This can be done under the guidance of ASI. Thereafter, a power point presentation can be made including the overall work proposal of DMRC for their projects in Delhi.

Case no.08

(Sh. Gopal Subramanium, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storey's with total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the site is 128 mtr from the monument.

Case no.09

(Sh. Adarsh Kumar Ahluwalia, Panchsheel Enclave, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storey's (GF+3) with total height of 16.30 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.10

(Sh. Kamal Bhandari, Sh. Pawan Bhandari, Smt. Shashi Kochar, Smt. Anita Loomba and Smt. Anita Loomba and Smt. Beena Bhandari, Nizamuddin West, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storeys with total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the site is 178 mtr from the monument.

Case no.11

(Sh. Abhayjeet Kumar Alias Avjit, Sh. Ankur Pal, Sh. Rajan Pal and Sh. Sanjoy Kundu all through their GPA Sh. Deepak Pal, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storeys (Stilt+4) with total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the site is 150 mtr from the monument.

Case no.12

(SGS Construction and Development Pvt. Ltd., through its Director Sh. Rajiv Sood, Green Park Main, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storeys (basement+Stilt+4) with total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Basement is permitted, as the site is 267 mtr from the monument.

Case no.13

(Smt. Kulwant Kaur Sidhu, Begumpur, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction in the existing second floor and construction of third floor with total height of 15.85 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.14

(Sh. Umesh Chand Gupta and Smt. Rekha Gupta, Geetanjali Enclave, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storeys (stilt+4) with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Basement is permitted, as the site is 215 mtr from the monument.

Case no.15

(Sh. Anil Kumar Bansal and Sh. Deepak Bansal, Geetanjali Enclave, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storeys (basement+stilt+4) with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Basement is permitted, as the site is 210 from the monument.

Case no.16

(Sh. Balak Ram Negi, Dy. Chief Engineer, Northern Railways, Old Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for laying & replacement of existing water supply pipe line with new 450 mm dia water supply pipe lines from Yamuna to Old Delhi Railway Station passing through/nearby to Salim Garh Fort & Red Fort.

Case no.17

(Sh. Pravin Kumar Sood, Smt. Vinod Bala Sood and Smt. Tripai Kumar Sood, Hauz Khas, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+4 storeys with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the site is 111 mtr from the monument.

Case no.18

(M/s High Speed Sales Agencies Pvt. Ltd. Though its Authorized Signatory Sh. Praveen Tayal, Panchsheel Park, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted as the site is 146 mtr from the monument.

Case no.19

(Sh. Kamal Chugh and Sh. Amit Chugh, Panchsheel Park, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for additional construction to the existing GF+FF+SF with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). There is an existing basement in the building.

Case no.20

(Smt. Kamla Roy, Panchsheel Enclave, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the site is 120 mtr from the monument.

Case no.21

(Smt. Kailash Kumari and Smt. Harmesh Kumari, Green Park Main, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Basement is permitted, as the site is 236 mtr from the monument.

Case no.22

(Sh. Kimti Lal Jain and Smt. Sunanda Jain, Rana Pratap Bagh, North Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the site is 190 mtr from the monument.

Case no.23

(Sh. Anil Kumar Bhasin, Shahpur Jat, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided that the distance of the monument to the proposed site should be re-verified as there is a net difference of 85 mtrs in the distance provided by the SA Delhi Circle (279 mtrs) and the distance as per CA's report based on DSSDI and Survey of India's site plan (194 mtrs).

Case no.24

(Smt. Parmawati, Aliganj, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 storeys with total height of 11.6 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.25

(Sh. Faisal Ali Khan, Husainabad, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+ground+2 storeys with total height of 12.9 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.26

(Sh. Mazhar Ali Khan, Husainabad, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+ground+2 storeys with total height of 12.9 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.27

(Sh. Mahjabeen Ara, Husainabad, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 storeys with total height of 12.30 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.28

(Rass Developers through Partner Syed Rafat, Tahseenganj Chowk, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 storeys with total height of 12.85 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 93rd MEETING (3rd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24,Tilak Marg, New delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 23rd October, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Sh. Philomina Richard Baltidar Rodragrice, Kumta, Uttara Kannada, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground Floor with the total height of 3.05 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.02

(Smt. Ratnavva Shekappa Kammar, Naregal, Hanagal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground Floor with the total height of 5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.03

(Sh. Parasappa Yellappa Hadapad, Naregal, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground Floor with total height of 5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.04

(Smt. Lakshmi Ishwar Kammar, Naregal, Hanagal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground Floor with total height of 5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.05

(Smt. Roopa Nishimappa Kammar, Naregal, Hanagal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground Floor with total height of 5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.06

(Smt. Lalitha Shanmuka Kammar, Naregal, Hanagal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground Floor with total height of 5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.07

(Smt. Laxmavva Manappa Kammar, Naregal, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it.was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground Floor with total height of 5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.08

(Smt. Savitravva Shankrappa Ujjinshetru, Naregal, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After consideration of this case it was noted that the applicant has already constructed without prior permission and while it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the total height of 5 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.), penalty of Rs. 2,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no.09

(Sh. Rafi Ahmed M. Savanur, Rattihalli, Hirekerur, Haveri, Karnataka)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the applicant has already constructed without prior permission and while, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+1 floor with the total height of 23 feet (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) penalty of Rs. 2,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no.10

(The Assistant Director, KRIDL, Gadag, Nargund, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 Floor with total height of 8.5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). The applicant may be advised to incorporate sloping roof on balcony, verandah & extend plinth below the balcony on the Ground Floor.

Case no.11

(Sh. Adinath Nemachandra Muttin, Nargund, Gadag, Karnataka)

After consideration of this case it was noted that the applicant has already constructed without prior permission and while, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+1 floor with the total height of 8 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) penalty of Rs. 2,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no.12

(Sh. Mallappa Adiveppa Guggari, Nargund, Gadag, Karnataka)

After consideration of this case it was noted that the applicant has already constructed without prior permission and while, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the total height of 3.90 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) penalty of Rs. 2,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no.13

(Sh. Yallappa Tirakappa Uppar, Haveri, Karnataka)

After consideration of this case it was noted that the applicant has already constructed without prior permission and while it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with the total height of 13 feet (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) penalty of Rs. 2,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no.14

(Sh. Virupaxi Basavanthappa Ganachari, Bailhongal, Belgaum, Karnataka)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the applicant has already constructed without prior permission and while, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+1 floor with the total height of 6.70 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) penalty of Rs. 1,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no.15

(Sh. Virupaxi Basavanthappa Ganachari, Bailhongal, Belgaum, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction work has already taken place. CA should clarify the points regarding the clearly identifiable photo of construction already completed, with relevant details of height, area and etc. The case will be taken up after receipt of the clarifications.

Case no.16

(Divisional Controller, N.W.K.R.T.C, Bailhongal, Belgaum, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground Floor with total height of 5.25 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), subject to following conditions:

a) Total no. of new shops limited to 13, in view of constructing 5 without permission
b) Put up cultural & directional signage regarding the protected monument at the bus stop.

Case no.17

(Sh. Mahendra S/o Sh. Shantilal Bansali and Sh. Suresh S/o Sh. Shantilal Bansali, Saudatti, Belgaum, Karnataka)

After consideration of this case it was noted that the applicant has already constructed without prior permission and while it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+1 floor with the total height of 8.80 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) penalty of Rs. 20,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument. Approved as per plan for the dimensions of height & area therein.

Case no.18

(Smt. Prema Krishna Kodia, Bhatkal, Uttara Kannada, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground Floor with total height of 5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.19

(President Sri Laxminarayan Ramanath, Shanteri Kamaxi Bethal Temple Trust, Bhatkal, Uttara Kannada, Karnataka)

After consideration of this case it was noted that the applicant has already constructed without prior permission and while, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+2 floors with the total height of 13 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) penalty of Rs. 30,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no.20

(Sh. C.A. Victor Raj, Bhatkal, Uttara kannada, Karnataka)

After careful consideration of this case it was noted that the applicant has already constructed without prior permission and while, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+1 floor, but should not built beyond 1st floor with the total height of 25 feet (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.). Penalty of Rs. 10,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no.21

(All India Sh. Shivaji Memorial Society, Pune, Maharashtra)

On perusal of the applicantion, it was noted that the proposed construction combines hostel for students with commercial units also. It was observed by the members that this is an educational institutions and having students hostel and commercial units within the same building seemed in-appropriate. Accordingly, while deciding to recommend grant of NOC, it has been submitted that being an educational institutional case, only students hostel portion would be allowed, which as per building plan is on five floors. The total height of the building should be restricted to 18 mtrs in all and basement is also not allowed, as the site is 128 mtrs from the monument.

Case no.22

(Dr. Anant Eknath Bagul, Pune, Maharashtra)

The matter was discussed in detail. Comments of Members are as follows:

i. **Comments of Whole Time Member, NMA (Dr. Meera Ishwar Dass)**
   a) Non-compatible use
   b) Basement not approved
   c) Height as approved in earlier cases

ii. **Comments of Part Time Member, NMA (Dr. Sanghamitra Basu)**

a) Has objection to another hospital in this area/locality because of incompatible use

b) And also to height and basement

iii. **Comments of Part Time Member, NMA (Rima Hooja)**

a) Allowed up to 18.10 mtrs only

b) No basement

c) Reservations (but not objection about case)

iv. **Comments of Chairperson, NMA (Prof. Himanshu Prabha Ray)**

a) NMA has earlier sanctioned buildings in the area up to a height of 18.10 mtrs hence, the current height 18.10 mtrs including rooftop structures is in keeping with earlier decisions and may be allowed.

b) Basements have earlier been sanctioned in the area. In this case, since two of the members have objected to two basements as asked for by the applicant, we may prohibit the construction of two basements.

v. **Comments of DG, ASI (Sh. Pravin Srivastava)**

Proposal may be recommended for total height 18.10 mtrs. Basement may not be allowed especially as monument is ~~as~~ just 125 mtrs away. Taking into consideration the majority view the case is recommend grant of NOC with total height of 18.10 mtrs (including mumty, water-storage tank, parapet etc.) Basement is not permitted.

Case no.23

(Sh. Mangesh Sakaram Lanjekar, Thane, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+3 Floors with total height of 16.60 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.24

(Sh. Prataprai Narandas Thaker, Dwarka, Jamnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 Floors with total height of 11.70 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.25

(Sh. Devjibhai Ramjibhai Solanki and others, Talaja, Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 Floor with total height of 9.10 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.26

(Sh. Dilipsinh Arvindsinh Vala, Talaja, Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground Floor+Stair Cabin with total height of 5.54 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.27

(Sh. Maksudahmed Gulamakbar Tolat, Bharuch, Gujarat)

After consideration of this case it was noted that the applicant has already constructed without prior permission and while, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for ground+2 floors with the total height of 12.73 (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) penalty of Rs. 10,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities apt the protected monument.

Case no.28

(Sh. Mohammad Sahidkhan Majidkhan, Bharuch, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floors with total height of 12.13 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). The applicant should try to keep maintain façade in conformity with the demolished structurewhile constructing the building.

Case no.29

(Sh. Kantibhai D. Patel (Self and POAH) of Sh. Danabhai Jivrajbhai Patel and others, Surat, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+5 Floors with total height of 22.60 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.30

(Sh. Imtiyazkhan Bilmillahkhan Pathan, Proprietor of Real Developers, P.O.A.H. of Sh. Abdulsamad Adambhai Mandli and others, Makarba, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+4 Floors with total height of 22.93 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.31

(Sh. Safarkhan Nathekhan, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for hollow plinth+5 floors with total height of 22.70 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.32

(Sh. Harshadbhai M. Patel and others, Vadodara, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for parking+Ground+3 floors with total height of 12.25 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.33

(Sh. Shantilal Shivrambhai Pandya, Dani Limda, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for parking+5 floors with total height of 22.8 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.34

(Gala Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+3 floors of Type A & B with total height of 15.90 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc

Case no.35

(Sh. K.P. Ummar, Pattambi, Palakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storeys with submitted by the applicant total height of 11.70 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as per building plan

Case no.36

(Sh. Jitendra M. Shah (Proprietor), M/s Kartik Enterprises, Borivali, Mumbai, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+Ground+14 storeys with total height of 49.25 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Deferred case

Case no.01

(M/s Mehrauli Realty & Consultants Ltd., H-5/12, ward No. 1, Mehrauli, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, Members had the following observations:

a) Renovation work (painting & polishing) in prohibited area may be recommended.
b) In respect of the proposal for construction, the applicant has been asked to re-design the proposed buildings in regulated area to be compatible with the existing buildings.
c) No basement would be permissible.

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 93rd MEETING (4th Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24,Tilak Marg, New delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 24th October, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Case no.01

(Smt. Bimla Devi Memorial Trust Trustee-Ved Prakash Gupta, Faridabad, Haryana)

As decided earlier, the applicant made a presentation on his proposal. After taking that into account and after careful consideration of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor & first floor with the total height of 14 mtrs as shown in the drawing (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, toilet in the basement is not allowed. Directional & cultural signage should also be put up under the ASI guidelines. There needs to be direct access & facilities for the public to visit the Kos minar and the proposed construction should not hinder the way leading to the monument. An interpretation centre can be established for heritage information.

Case no.02

(Public Works Department, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh)

As decided earlier, the applicant made a power-point presentation on the proposed project. After examining all the relevant point in detail, it was decided that further information was necessary to take a view in this project in a holistic manner:

a) There should be an Impact Assessment done, which should include environmental aspects as well as hydrological assessment of the proposed construction.
b) It also needs to be examined whether there is possibility of widening the existing path rather than going in for such major intervention of construction of bridge.
c) It also needs to be checked whether there is any bifurcating way near the river so that an over bridge can be avoided.

Case no.03

(Office of the Executive Engineer, Flyover Project Division F-123, PWD, Govt. of NCT of Delhi through Er. P.K. Sharma, Kidwai Nagar East, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction of elevated Barapullah Road Extension over Barapullah Nalah near Iron Pedestrian Bridge with a few recommendations such as putting up of directional & cultural signage with description of monument under the ASI guidelines and direct access & facilities for the public to visit Tomb of Darya Khan.

Case no.04

(Swathi Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was observed that the application relates two part renovation and partly for construction. The detailed inspection report was also examined and it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for existing basement + ground + first floor with total height of 9.5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as per building plan provided. The applicant may also by advised to maintain façade of existing building to some extent.

Gm 11

suggestions for NBCC project

Sanghamitra Basu <pm1nmand@gmail.com> Mon, Oct 28, 2013 at 12:31 AM
To: pravin srivastava <psmsnmand@gmail.com>
Cc: Chairperson Nma <chairperson.nma@gmail.com>, Rima <pm2nmand@gmail.com>, Meera I Das <fm1nmand@gmail.com>, Swastika Nandi <snsa.nmand@gmail.com>

Dear Mr Srivastava,

As requested , sending some suggestions for the NOC case by NBCC 'for temporary constructions in Darya Khan's Tomb, East Kidwai Nagar, Delhi ' :

1) During the entire period of construction, public access to Darya Khan's Tomb need to be ensured and well maintained.

2) Access to the monument and its vicinity must be made safe and attractive to the public by means of proper signage , illumination on street , sitting arrangement and proper landscaping.

3) Any temporary barrier erected to shield the construction site from the monument (mainly on the monument side and along the access route to the monument) should be pleasing and have attractive displays , posters and paintings depicting history and significance of the Monument and may be some information on the new proposal.

4) Precaution need to be taken to shield the monument from dust , noise and visual pollution , water logging , garbage etc. .

5) No movement of heavy vehicles be allowed on the access route to the monument and its vicinity .

Objective is to keep the monument in use, encourage people to continue to visit the monument , be aware of its significance and prevent any deterioration /damage to the Monument because of extensive construction work over next few years.

Attached are a few images I have taken during my visit to London this summer . the site is located near the Trafalgar Square where restoration of Shakespeare's monument was on. Design of the barricade (mirror with colorful posters) , surrounding sitting arrangement and lawn has ensured that the square continue to remain an attractive place for people to visit even though the object of attraction is shielded temporarily. May be shared with NBCC, if necessary , to convey the message. Design, of course, will have to be contextual and site specific .

Regards,

Sanghamitra Basu
Member, NMA

3 attachments



DSC_1117.JPG
6194K

DSC_1121.JPG
6054K



DSC_1124.JPG
6582K

606

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 94TH MEETING (Ist Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs,24,Tilak Marg, New delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 25TH November, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Deferred Cases

Case no.01

(Sh. S. Jethwani (Chief Engineer/PD DMRC) (Malviya Nagar Metro Station), Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was noted that response is not adequate. It was also felt that DMRC should present all such prospects in toto, with details of all projects, as discussed during Secretary's meeting on 22 October 2013.

Case no.02

(Sh. Anil Kumar Bhasin, A-62, Gulmohar Park, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+Ground+3 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Basement to a depth of 2.90 m allowed, as the site is 209 m from the monument.

Case no.03

(Pharmaha Khomsaram Joint Secretary Mulnidhi Wat Thai Kusinara, Mahavihar Society, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was felt that the reports received from SA Lucknow are still not addressing the archaeology issues. As it is an important archaeological site and construction within 100/300 meters would have an impact on the archaeology, NMA feels that even in regulated area no construction should be allowed. The applicant may be advised to move beyond the 300 meters limit especially as sufficient vacant land appears available. Also State Govt. should try and lay down some guidelines for the area beyond 300 meters to ensure planned and controlled growth in the area.

Case no.04

(Administrative Officer, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that there is no Site Plan and so it is difficult to visualize the proposed work. Therefore, detailed Site Plan should be given indicating work plan.

26/11

In any case shadow roof may not be permissible as it can have visual access issue.

Review Cases

Case no.01

(Sh. Ramanbhai Gandabhai Panchal & Others, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided not to consider any change in earlier decision of NMA.

Case no.02

(M/s Shrikar Hotels Pvt Ltd., Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided not to consider any change in earlier decision of NMA.

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Sh. Tukaram Dnayandev Rodge, Solapur, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 17 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), and the construction should be in harmony with the surrounding area. Basement to a depth of 6.50m. to be allowed.
Besides, since so many NOC s from Solapur have been recommended, CA may be asked to frame some guidelines, which may be utilized till regular bye laws are framed.

Case no.02

(Sh. Satish Chandrakant Jadhav, Solapur, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 17 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), the construction should be in harmony without the surrounding area. Basement applied for by the applicant to be allowed.

Case no.03

(Sh. Vikaram Agarwal, C/o Vardhaman Associates, Pune, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, applicant may be advised to add chajjas in new construction. Basement to a depth of 2.60m. to be allowed.

Prabha
26/11

Case no.04

(Sh. Kushal Sagar Developers, Pune, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, applicant may be advised to add chajjas in new construction. Basement to a depth of 2.40m to be allowed.

Case no.05

(S.K. Developers C/o Sh. Salim Yasin Kavathekar, Phaltan, Satara, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floors with total height of 13.60 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, the applicant should retain existing balcony.

Case no.06

(Sh. Ramesh Dattatray Kanwade, Panhala, Kolhapur, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 8.26 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.07

(Sh. Manojbhai B. Vadodariya and Sh. Jayantibhai B. Patel, P.O.A. Holder, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 22.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as per existing guidelines being followed for Ahmedabad.

Case no.08

(Sh. Kalpendrakumar Nanji Makwana, Diu, Daman & Diu (UT))

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floors with total height of 12.50 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.09

(Sh. Vasantkumar H. Jethwa (GPOAH) of Sh. Rakesh Vasantkumar Jethwa, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.59 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Total height of 9.6mtrs allowed including mumty, etc.

26/11

609

Case no.10

(Sh. Gilbert Maria Lurdes Merces Almeida, Diu, Daman & Diu (UT))

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.65 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Ht of 11.60 m allowed including parapet & mumty.

Case no.11

(Mrs. Bilkish A Latif Panavadhu, Diu, Daman & Diu (UT))

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.35 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Total ht of 10.00 m allowed including mumty, etc.

Case no.12

(Sh. Fajal Mehmood Abdul Samad and others, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement (HP)+Ground+4 floors with total height of 21.52 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Basement of 2.60m.

Case no.13

(Secretary, Saraswati Vidhya Mandal, Ahmedabad,)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 12 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.14

(Smt. Vandnaben Nilesh Shah and Nilesh Balchand Shah, Paldi, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+1 floor with the total height of 10 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no. 15

(Super Organizer Pvt. Ltd. Director Sh. Vasantkumar J. Shah and Surendrakumar J. Shah, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 22.80 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., as per Ahmedabad guidelines.

26/11

Case no.16

(Sh. Amit G. Mangaldas, Self and (POAH) of Urmila G. Shah, Shahibaug, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 22.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as per Ahmedabad guidelines.

Case no.17

(Sh. Ramjibhai Kabrabhai Gohel, Mangrol, Junagadh, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+ground + 1 floor with total height of 10.65 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no. 18

(Smt. Harpyari Devi Jetha w/o Sh. Damodar Das Jetha, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for single storey with total height of 6.43 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, the applicant should re-use same stone in the new construction.

Case no.19

(Smt. Rabiam Bi, Ponda Taluka, North-Goa, Goa)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, the applicant may try to incorporate tiled, sloping roof.

Case no.20

(Sh. Binod Kumar Jain, Kankar Bagh, Patna, Bihar)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+5 floors with total height of 15 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), However, no basement is permitted, as the site is 121 m (in the just regulated area) of the archaeological site of Kumrahar.

Case no.21

(Dr. Sanjeev Kumar, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was noted the the Ancient site 'Lalmod Tedki' is of archaeological importance. It was decided to ask Deccan college to prepare an archaeological impact assessment report on the site and consider the case thereafter.

26/11

Case no.22

(Smt. Manjari Singh, Sitapur Road, Near Daliganj Railway Station, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 m i.e. 15 mtrs for building height and 3 mtrs for roof top structures. Also, it was observed that presently there is a very small built up area in the vicinity and the applicant may construct the residential flats keeping in mind the aesthetic value of the monument.

Case no.23

(Man Kamneshwar Developers Pvt. Ltd. C/o Karunesh Kumar Shukla S/o Sh. R.K. Shukla, Rana Pratap Marg, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for with the total height of 17.30 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with only one basement is permitted. But before this CA, Lucknow is requested to re-verify the proposed distance and update this office.

Case no.24

(Sh. Bhagwan Kishore S/o Sh. Puran Chand, Nggar, Kullu, Himachal Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 6.85 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), Also, applicant may be advised to incorporate sloping roof on the proposed construction.

Case no.25

(Smt. Amrit Kaur Sachdeva D/o Sh. Sardar Ram Singh, Samkhetar, Mandi Town, Himachal Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floors with total height of 10.95 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Prabha
26/11

619

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 94TH MEETING (2nd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs,24,Tilak Marg, New delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 26TH November, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Deferred Cases

For the cases listed today, there were presentations scheduled from Daman Administration and from the high ways departments Government of Karnataka. After the presentations decision were taken as follows:

Case no.01

(The Range Forest Officer, Forest Department, Diu, Daman & Diu (UT))

The proposals for landscaping and visitors facilities proposed around Daman Fort were explained in detail in the presentation made by the Administrator, Daman & Diu. After the presentation and discussions on the case, members were of the opinion that this case had several components and was likely to affect the overall ambience around this protected monument. Therefore, in order to have a holistic view of the proposal, it was decided that a committee may be formed as follows:

a) SA Baroda Circle, Chairman.
b) A representative of Administration of Daman & Diu (UT)
c) Landscape Architect, ASI.
d) Sh. Mayur Thakre (from office of CA, Mumbai)

This committee would complete their consultation and submit their findings to the NMA latest by 31.12.2013

27/11

Case no.02

(Project Director, Shimonga, Karnataka)

&

Case no.03

(Project Director, Channagir, Davanagere, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in ~~this~~ both case subject to the following conditions:-

1. The applicant has to provide Interpretation panels/signage for the monument
2. Drainage system to be checked so that road level should not get higher than monument and water should not come inside monument complex.
3. ASI to monitor the site and also to check the impact on monument during/post digging process.

27/11

Total 3 pages

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 94TH MEETING (3rd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg, New delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 27TH November, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Sh. Gurpreet S. Sodhi and Sh. Gurmeet S. Sodhi, Green Park Main, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+4 storeys with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the site falls within the first regulated area of 122 m. from the monument.

Case no.02

(Smt. Kusum Himatsingka, Shivalik, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+Ground+3 storeys with total height of 17.90 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.03

(Sh. Raj Kumar Chotrani, Smt. Sneha Chotrani and Saurabh Chotrani, Shivalik, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+Ground+3 storey's with total height of 15.01 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the site falls within the first regulated area and is 146.16 m from monument.

Case no.04

(Sh. S.K. Batra, Sarvapriya Vihar, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+Ground+3 storey's with total height of 18.00 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Prabha
28/11

615

Case no.05

(Sh. Rinesh Saini and Sh. Yenesh Saini, NDSE-II, South Delhi, Delhi)

After consideration of this case, it was noted that the construction was already completed without prior permission so it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for stilt+4 storey's with the total height of 18.00 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and a penalty of Rs. 1 lakh may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities for the protected monument.

Case no.06

(Sh. Kultar Singh Sambi, Sh. Ranjit Singh Sambi and Sh. Manmohan Singh Sambi, NDSE-II, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). As the site is 240 m from monument a basement to a depth of 3.05m may be allowed.

Case no.07

(Sh. R.C. Kapoor, Begumpur Village, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+4 storey's with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as site is in prot regulated zone of 160 m.

Case no.08

(Sh. Irshad Ullah Khan, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+4 Storey's with total height of 17.80 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.09

(Jasoda Devi and Yasoda Devi, Sikandara, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 15 mtrs in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). The height has been restricted to 15 mtrs as the property falls on the main street leading to the protected monument. Basement is allowed.

Case no.10

(Smt. Rashmi Singh Chandel, Sikandara, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 8.60 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

26/11

Case no.11

(Sh. Kulwinder Singh, Village Sohavi, Tehsil-Sanghol, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for double storey with maximum total height of 10.66 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). It was also decided that the ASI should be involved in the construction process, especially at the stage of digging the ground.

Case no.12

(Sh. Vikas Anand Singh & Others C/o Mukut House Developers Private Limited, Amritsar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18.65 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), however, only one basement is permissible with the total height of 3 mtrs.

Case no.13

(Director Technical Education and Industrial Training Punjab, Amritsar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storey's with total height of 51 feets (15.54 mtrs) in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as per the building plan.

Case no.14

(Smt. Gurdip Kaur w/o Sh. Parwinder Singh, Ludhiana, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for double storey with total height of 27 feets (8.23 mtrs) in all (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.15

(Executive Engineer HUDA Bahadurgarh, Jahajjar, Haryana)

After perusal of the application it was decided that the alignment for the proposed road may be changed to take it outside the prohibited area.

Case no.16

(Sh. Varun K. Vij, E-4, Panchsheel Park, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided that the CA needs to verify the distance between the protected monument and the proposed site and resubmit the verified distance.

28/11

617

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 94TH MEETING (4th Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs,24,Tilak Marg, New delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 28TH November, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Deferred Cases

The following deferred/review cases were taken up first.

Case no.01

(Sh. Narender Anand, 14-Janpath Lane, New Delhi)

Member Secretary gave the detailed background about this case. The applicant had originally approached NDMC in 1986 for sanction of building plan for a seven storey building at this location, after demolition of an existing building. He got permission for NDMC in 1992, after NDMC had finalized its comprehensive re-development plan of Janpath. Although, ASI had been asked to given its comments on this plan of NDMC, no comments were sent by ASI. After approval of the building plan etc, the applicant began his construction and completed upto 5 floors. In 2001, ASI issued a notice for stopping the work because it fell in the prohibited area. The applicant challenged the matter in the court and the matter has been subjudice in the High Court and Supreme Court and was finally disposed off by Supreme Court in January, 2012 with directions, inter-alia that no re-construction would be permissible in-terms of the AMSAR Amendment Act. The applicant was however free to approach the relevant authority to undertake repair and renovation work. Accordingly, the applicant has sought the repair and renovation like flooring and painting, inside and outside, electricity and sanitary fitting etc.

Opinion has also been obtained from Legal Retainer of NMA who has mentioned that the NMA may grant permission for repair and renovation. In view of the above background and clarification, and taking into account other relevant details, it was

28/11

decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case only for repair & renovation and subject to other conditions stipulated in the report of CA Delhi.

Case no. 2

(Smt. Veena Bajaj, 2/31, Sarvapriya Vihar, New Delhi)

The application submitted by one Smt. Veena Bajaj, New Delhi for review of decision regarding construction of basement was taken up for discussion. In this case, while NOC had been recommended, basement had not been permitted in view of the revised guidelines being followed for Delhi. After perusal of the application it was noted that NMA is presently in the process of examining the Heritage Bye-laws of this monument ~~has~~ submitted by INTACH and after examination of the matter would revert thereafter.

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Sri Chandrasekhar Basappa Ganachari, Bailhongal, Belgaum, Karnataka)

After consideration of this case, it was noted that the construction was already completed without prior permission. It was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+3 floors with the total height of 14.70 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and a penalty of Rs. 1 lakh may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities for the protected monument. The applicant should use the building only for educational purpose.

Case no.02

(Sh. Pralhad B. Harakuni, Bailhongal, Belgaum, Karnataka)

After consideration of this case, it was noted that the construction was already completed without prior permission so it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+2 floors with the total height of 9.98 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and a penalty of Rs. 10,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities for the protected monument.

28/11

Case no.03

(Sh. Basavantappa Basappa Ganachari, Bailhongal, Belgaum, Karnataka)

After consideration of this case, it was noted that the construction was already done without prior permission so it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+~~1~~ floor$ with the height restricted to 3.048 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and a penalty of Rs. 30,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities for the protected monument.

Case no.04

(Smt. Chandrakala w/o Sh. Digamber, Bidar, Karnataka)

After consideration of this case, it was noted that the construction was already completed without prior permission so it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground+2 floors with the total height of 16.50 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and penalty of Rs. 20,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities for the protected monument.

Letter to CA to inform that the construction work already taken place without prior approval. Hence, he should check the status of the site and update this office. Also whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant.

Case no.05

(Smt. Shanthamma, Narasamangala, Chamarajnagar, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction of compound wall with total height of 1.21 mtr.

Rekha
28/11

Case no.06

(Sh. Sathish Kotian, Karkala, Udupi, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 with total height of 10.06 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.07

(Mr. Biju K.M., Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor+Stair room with total height of 5.75 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.08

(Mr. Rajin K.J., Thrissur, Kerala)

After consideration of this case, it was noted that the construction was already completed without prior permission. Hence, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground floor with the total height of 4.50 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and a penalty of Rs. 10,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities for the protected monument. Also, applicant may incorporate sloping chajjas in construction.

Case no.09

(Mr. Rajesh K.K., Thrissur, Kerala)

After consideration of this case, it was noted that the construction was already completed without prior permission so it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground floor with the total height of 4.20 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) and a penalty of Rs. 5,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities for the protected monument.

28/11

Case no.10

(Mr. Joshy Francis, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 with total height of 7.40 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also ASI may monitor the digging stage during the construction work.

Case no.11

(Mr. Murali, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 4.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.12

(Mrs. Suhara, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 6.65 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.13

(Sri Muhammed Rafi, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 7.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.14

(Mr. Sreedharan Parameshwaran Moothedathu, Chepru, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 6.35 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.15

(Mrs. Sajitha, Chepru, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 4.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

28/11

Case no.16

(Smt. Rathnam, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 4.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.17

(Sh. V. Rajasekhar & Others, Palakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was noted that the construction work appears to be underway. Therefore, CA should inspect the site and send a status report to this office at the earliest. Also to inform whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant or not.

28/11

623

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 95TH MEETING (Ist Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs,24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 03.30 P.M on 18th December, 2013

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Review Case

Case no.01

(Sh. Shridhar S. Rokade, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that earlier the case was recommended with the height of 7 mtr. The applicant has represented to allow height of 10.5 mtr for G+2 floors. After perusal of his petition, it was decided, on review, to recommend NOC of the residential building up to 10.50 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Deferred Case

Case no.01

(AARNA, Chennai)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+4 floors with total height of 17.12 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). The applicant should follow all the conditions as mentioned in the Impact Assessment report.

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Smt. Ruby Guha, August Kranti Marg, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+Stilt+4 storeys with total height of 18.00 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).The applicant should ensure that the utilization of basement is for domestic house hold storage only as mentioned in the building plan. Area of basement 118.49 sqm & depth 2.90 mtrs.

20/12/2013

6 24

Case no.02

(Smt. Usha Marwah, Muhammadpur, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, in terms of the internal guidelines of NMA on this issue.

Case no.03

(Sh. Jasdev Singh Akoi, Connaught Place, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application and noting that it's a housing project, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with the total height of 23 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, in terms of the internal guidelines of NMA on this issue.

Case no.04

(YMCA through its General Secretary Sh. J. Benjamin, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+3 storeys with total height of 17.56 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.05

(Sh. Rajvir Singh and Smt. Archana Singh, Green Park Main, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided that the applicant should submit site plan & building plan showing proposed construction in regulated area only (not for the area that falls under prohibited portion). Thereafter, the case will be put up again for consideration.

Case no.06

(M.D. Tourism U.P., Srawati, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the monument/site in question is an important archaeological site. Therefore, it is advisable to relocate the proposed construction of providing facilities of tourism beyond regulated area of the monument.

Case no.07

(Dr. Yogendra Nath Mann, Aliganj, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 storeyes with total height of 12.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

20/12/2013

Case no.08

(Sh. Lingaraju, Sriyangapatana, Mandya, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 3.84 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.09

(Smt. Shantavva R. Vibhootimath, Bailhongal, Belgaum, Karnataka)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground + 1 Floor with total height of 7.5 mtrs ~~for~~ excluding mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 5,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI

Case no.10

(Mrs. Sreelatha R. Menon, Thiruvanchikkulam, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for 2 storeys with total height of 8.48 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.11

(Mrs. Sudha P. Menon, Thiruvanchikkulam, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC only for G+2 storeys with total height of 9.60 mtrs up to roof level (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, the applicant may ~~try to~~ sloping roof on patterns of surroundings buildings. should have a

Case no.12

(Ms. Sheeba, Thiruvanchikkulam, Thrissure, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for double storeys with total height of 7.45 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.13

(Sh. A.K. Devadasan, Chepru, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for G+2 storeys with total height of 10.35 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Sreelatha
20/12/2013

Case no.14

(Smt. Chandramani, Ariyannur, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for G+1 storey with total height of 7.60 mtrs up-to-roof-level (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

↓ extention of 1st floor

Case no.15

(Mr. Kumaran & Mrs. Shailaja, Eyyal, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

20/12/2013